Eric D. Sherman Luisa K. Hagemeier PRYOR CASHMAN LLP 410 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022 (212) 421-4100 Attorneys for Defendant

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF N	EW YORK	X		
KG CORNWALL, LLC		:	Index No. 07 Civ. 2881 (CLB)	
	Plaintiff,	:	index 110. 07 CIV. 2001 (CDD)	
-against-		:	ANSWER	
BEAZER HOMES CORP.		:	AISWER	
	Defendant.	: X		

Defendant Beazer Homes Corp. ("Beazer") by and through its counsel, Pryor Cashman LLP, as and for its Answer to the Complaint of plaintiff KG Cornwall, LLC ("KG Cornwall"), alleges as follows:

- Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the 1. allegations set forth in paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
 - Admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 2 of the Complaint. 2.
- To the extent a response to paragraph 3 of the Complaint is required, denies 3. knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth therein.

1

Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 4 of the Complaint. 4.

- 5. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
 - 6. Admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 7. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 8 as inaccurate and incomplete, and refers to the Agreement¹, which speaks for itself.
- 9. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 9 of the Complaint as inaccurate and incomplete and refers to the Agreement, which speaks for itself.
- 10. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 10 as inaccurate and incomplete, and refers to the content of the Agreement, which speaks for itself.
- 11. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 11 of the Complaint as inaccurate and incomplete.
 - 12. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
- 13. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 13 of the Complaint as inaccurate and incomplete, and refers to the content of the Letter of Credit, which speaks for itself.
 - 14. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
- 15. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
 - 16. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
 - 17. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
 - 18. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 18 of the Complaint.

¹ All capitalized terms in this Answer are ascribed the same meaning as those in the Complaint.

- 19. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 19 of the Complaint, and refer to the document referenced therein, which speaks for itself.
 - 20. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
 - 21. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 21 of the Complaint.
 - 22. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 22 of the Complaint.
 - 23. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 23 of the Complaint.
 - 24. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 24 of the Complaint.
- 25. In response to paragraph 25, Beazer repeats, reiterates and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, each and every response set forth in this Answer to the allegations of paragraphs 1-24 of the Complaint.
 - 26. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 26 of the Complaint.
 - 27. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 27 of the Complaint.
- 28. To the extent a response to paragraph 28 is necessary, denies the allegations set forth therein.
- 29. In response to paragraph 29, repeats, reiterates and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, each and every response set forth in this Answer to the allegations in paragraphs 1-28 of the Complaint.
 - 30. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 30 of the Complaint.
- 31. The allegations of paragraph 31 are incomprehensible but, to the extent any meaning might be discerned, denies these allegations.
 - 32. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 32 of the Complaint.
- 33. To the extent a response to paragraph 33 is required, denies the allegations set forth therein.

- Case 7:07-cv-02881-CS Document 7 Filed 07/17/2007 Page 4 of 6
- In response to paragraph 34 of the Complaint, repeats, reiterates and realleges, as 34. though fully set forth herein, each and every response set forth in this Answer to the allegations in paragraphs 1-33 of the Complaint.
 - Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 35 of the Complaint. 35.
 - Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 36 of the Complaint. 36.
- To the extent a response to paragraph 37 is required, denies the allegations set 37. forth therein.
- In response to paragraph 38 of the Complaint, repeats, reiterates and realleges, as 38. though fully set forth herein, each and every response set forth in this Answer to the allegations in paragraphs 1-37 of the Complaint.
 - Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 39 of the Complaint. 39.
 - Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 40 of the Complaint. 40.
 - 41. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 41 of the Complaint.
- To the extent a response to paragraph 42 is required, denies the allegations set 42. forth therein.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Complaint, and each and every part thereof, fails to state a cause of action upon which a claim for relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

KG Cornwall's claim is barred, in whole or in part, by the terms of the Agreement.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

KG Cornwall's claims are barred by its breach of the Agreement.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

KG Cornwall's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of waiver and laches.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

KG Cornwall's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

KG Cornwall's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the Agreement's express limitation of remedies.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

KG Cornwall's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by its failure to mitigate its damages.

WHEREFORE, Beazer demands judgment:

- (a) dismissing the Complaint in its entirety; and
- (b) awarding Defendant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and

By:

proper.

Dated: New York, New York

June 29, 2007

PRYOR CASHMAN LLP

Eric D. Sherman

Luisa K. Hagemeier

410 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10022

(212) 421-4100

Attorneys for Defendant Beazer Homes Corporation

TO: Burton I. Dorfman, Esq.
Dorfman, Knoebel & Conway, LLP
51 North Broadway
Nyack, New York 10960
(845) 353-3500

Attorneys for Plaintiff KG Cornwall, LLC

422512